

Committee Draft ISO/IEC CD	
Date: 2003-03-05	Reference number: ISO/JTC 1/SC 32 N0972
Supersedes document SC 32N0754	

THIS DOCUMENT IS STILL UNDER STUDY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES.

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Data Management and Interchange Secretariat: USA (ANSI)	<p>Circulated to P- and O-members, and to technical committees and organizations in liaison for voting (P-members only) by:</p> <p>2003-06-08</p> <p>Please return all votes and comments in electronic form directly to the SC 32 Secretariat by the due date indicated.</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

ISO/IEC CD 11179-5:200x(E)

Title: Information Technology --Metadata Registries (MDR) - Part 5: Naming and identification principles

Project: 1.32.15.02.05.00

Introductory note: The attached document is hereby submitted for a three-month letter ballot to the National Bodies of ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32. The ballot starts 2003-03-07.

Medium: E

No. of pages: 28

Address Reply to: Douglas Mann, Secretariat, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 13600 Angelica Court, Chantilly, VA, 20151-3360, United States of America

Telephone: +1 202-566-2126; Facsimile: +1 202-566-1639; E-mail: MannD@battelle.org

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N972

Date: 2003-03-05

ISO/IEC CD 11179-5

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32/WG 2

Secretariat: ANSI

Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 5: Naming and identification principles

Élément introductif — Élément central — Partie 5: Titre de la partie

Warning

This document is not an ISO International Standard. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an International Standard.

Recipients of this document are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Document type: International Standard
Document subtype:
Document stage: (30) Committee
Document language: E

Copyright notice

This ISO document is a working draft or committee draft and is copyright-protected by ISO. While the reproduction of working drafts or committee drafts in any form for use by participants in the ISO standards development process is permitted without prior permission from ISO, neither this document nor any extract from it may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form for any other purpose without prior written permission from ISO.

Requests for permission to reproduce this document for the purpose of selling it should be addressed as shown below or to ISO's member body in the country of the requester:

[Indicate the full address, telephone number, fax number, telex number, and electronic mail address, as appropriate, of the Copyright Manager of the ISO member body responsible for the secretariat of the TC or SC within the framework of which the working document has been prepared.]

Reproduction for sales purposes may be subject to royalty payments or a licensing agreement.

Violators may be prosecuted.

Contents

Foreword	vi
Introduction.....	vii
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Registration identification of data	3
5 Identification.....	4
6 Names	4
6.1 Names within namespaces.....	4
6.2 Naming conventions.....	4
7 Development of structured naming conventions	5
7.1 Scope principle	6
7.2 Authority principle	6
7.3 Semantic principle	6
7.4 Syntactic principle	6
7.5 Lexical principle	6
7.6 Uniqueness principle.....	6
8 Objects for naming convention description	7
Annex A (informative) Example naming conventions for names within an MDR registry	8
Annex B (informative) Example naming conventions for Asian languages.....	18

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

ISO/IEC 11179-5 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information Technology*, Subcommittee SC 32, *Data management and interchange*.

— ISO/IEC 11179 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology — Metadata registries*

11179-1 - *Framework*

11179-2 - *Classification*

11179-3 – *Basic attributes and registry metamodel*

11179-4 - *Rules and guidelines for the formulation of data definitions*

11179-5 - *Naming and identification principles*

11179-6 - *Registration*

Introduction

This part of ISO/IEC 11179 contains principles and rules. Principles are normative and establish the premises on which the rules are based. Registry users may enforce informative rules as an application of this standard.

Notes for version of 2003-01-13:

Editor's Note: All comments in N0794 (Summary of Voting/Table of Replies) have been addressed. The document has been reorganized; all rules for naming conventions now appear in the annexes.

New additions include:

A subclause (6.1) discussing namespaces and examples of namespace identification in names;

Naming convention attributes (Clause 8);

A table relating name parts to administered items;

Annex B, giving rules for Asian languages.

There is now a clear distinction between principles, (normative) and rules (informative).

Identification text is now clearly separate from naming text.

Notes for current version:

The following changes reflect comments received during the Santa Fe editing meeting and afterward:

Some definitions have been revised and new ones have been added.

Clauses revised: 6.1, revised and 2nd paragraph moved to annex A; 6.2 revised and renamed; clause 7; 1st paragraph clause 8.

Changed occurrences of rules in clause 8.

Removed all references to guidelines.

Various small text changes re: the suggestions of Nancy Lawler.

All Asian fonts for Annex B have now been supplied. If they do not print on your copy, email the editor and a list will be provided.

Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 5: Naming and identification principles

1 Scope

This part of ISO/IEC 11179 provides instruction for naming and identification of the following administered items: data element concept, conceptual domain, data element, and value domain. It describes the parts and structure of identification. Identification is narrowly defined to encompass only the means to establish unique identification of these administered items within a register. It defines the naming attributes for an MDR; includes principles and rules by which naming conventions can be developed; and describes example naming conventions. The naming principles and rules described herein apply primarily to names of data element concepts, conceptual domains, data elements, and value domains. When "administered item" is used in this part of ISO/IEC 11179, it is understood to refer specifically to these four items. This part of ISO/IEC 11179 should be used in conjunction with those which establish rules and procedures for attributing, classifying, defining, and registering administered items.

In annex A, all of the examples are given with English terminologies. However, there is an intention that those rules be effective in other national languages, even in those languages which use ideographs such as Japanese, Chinese, or Korean, when the terminologies used in the name are controlled properly. Annex B contains a version of the rules for Asian languages.

It is out of scope of the naming rules to establish semantic equivalence of the naming among different languages. Naming must be supplemented by other methods such as ontologies or controlled vocabularies in establishing semantic equivalence.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 11179 (all parts), Information technology – Metadata registries (MDR).

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this part of ISO/IEC 11179, the terms and definitions given elsewhere in ISO/IEC 11179 and the following apply.

3.1

lexical

pertaining to words or the vocabulary of a language as distinguished from its grammar and construction

3.2

name part

a discrete term that is used as part of a name

3.3

namespace

a conceptual grouping of names of administered items

3.4

naming convention

a set of rules which, when applied to a set of administered items, results in or documents a set of standardized names

3.5

object class term

a part of the name of an administered item which represents the object class to which it belongs

Note: an example of the application of a structure set, i.e., the logical data grouping (in a logical data model), to the semantic component of a name.

3.6

principle

a fundamental, primary assertion which constitutes a source of action determining particular objectives or results

Note: a principle is usually supported through one or more rules.

3.7

property term

a part of the name of an administered item that expresses a property of an object class

Note: an example of the application of a structure set, i.e., a descriptive characteristic of the object class, to the semantic component of a name.

3.8

qualifier term

a word or words that help define and differentiate a name within a context

3.9

representation term

the form of the set of valid values for a data element or value domain

Note: an example of the application of a structure set, i.e., a classification of forms with definitions, to the semantic component of a name

3.10

rule

a statement governing conduct, procedure, conditions and/or relations

3.11

semantics

the branch of linguistic science that deals with the meanings of words

3.12

separator

a symbol or space enclosing or separating a part within a name; a delimiter

3.13

structure set

objects in context, with their relationships to other objects; examples include data models, taxonomies, and ontologies

3.14

syntax

the relationships among characters or groups of characters, independent of their meanings or the manner of their interpretation and use; the structure of expressions in a language, and the rules governing the structure of a language

4 Registration identification of data

1. Each administered item shall have a unique data identifier within the register of a Registration Authority.
2. The combination of registration authority identifier, data identifier, and version identifier shall constitute a unique identification of an administered item.
3. A data identifier is assigned for any administered item that is registered. Concurrently, or thereafter, the administered item may be:
 - Classified according to ISO/IEC 11179-2,
 - Specified according to ISO/IEC 11179-3,
 - Defined according to ISO/IEC 11179-4,
 - Named according to ISO/IEC 11179-5, and
 - Registered according to ISO/IEC 11179- 6.
4. An administered item shall have at least one name within a context.

5 Identification

The attributes registration authority identifier (RAI), data identifier (DI), and version identifier (VI) constitute the international registration data identifier (IRDI). At least one IRDI is required for an administered item. Data identifiers are assigned by a Registration Authority; data identifiers shall be unique within the domain of a Registration Authority. Requirements for a Registration Authority, and a discussion of the IRDI, appear in ISO/IEC 11179-6.

As each Registration Authority may determine its own DI assignment scheme, there is no guarantee that the DI by itself will uniquely identify an administered item. For example, if two authorities both use sequential 6-digit numbers, there may be two administered items with the same DI's; however, the administered items will almost certainly not be the same. Conversely, if one administered item appears in two registers, it will have two DI's. Therefore, both the DI and the RAI are necessary for identification of an administered item.

If particular attributes of an administered item change, then a new version of the administered item shall be created and registered. The registrar shall determine these attributes. In such a case, a VI is required to complete the unique identification of an administered item. For further guidance, see ISO/IEC 11179-6.

An IRDI can serve as a key when exchanging data among information systems, organizations, or other parties who wish to share a specific administered item, but might not utilize the same names or contexts. An IRDI is also used for language translation when the IRDI is associated with contexts established for more than one natural language and referencing among sets of administered items controlled by different Registration Authorities.

ISO/IEC 11179 does not specify the format or content of a unique DI.

6 Names

An administered item shall have at least one name within a registry of a Registration Authority. If there are other names by which the administered item is known, preferred names may be identified. Any administered item in the Registry can be subject to naming conventions. The annexes show examples of naming conventions applied to several administered items. Others can be developed by extension of the Principles.

6.1 Names within namespaces

Namespaces are categories used to sort data objects. Traditionally, namespaces have been used to segregate objects with the goal of having no redundancy within the namespace; therefore, often a rule of unique names within the namespace is applied. Namespaces may be defined as contexts in ISO/IEC 11179. Names may be assigned depending on the context in which the administered item is used. Each name has special utility within a particular context. For instance, for a data element, a rigorously structured name may be created for data management, another name may be specified by users, while a shortened name may be generated for a particular software environment such as a specific programming language or database management system. A naming convention may be established for each context to specify how names are formulated within that context.

In an MDR, a namespace may be defined as a context within a registry entry. In this case, the application of Item f. in 6.2 is particularly relevant.

6.2 Naming conventions

Each name for an administered item is specified within a context. One or more naming conventions may be specified for each context in the Registry.

A naming convention describes what is known about how names are formulated and assigned to administered items in a specific context. A naming convention may be simply descriptive; e.g., where the Registration Authority has no control over the formulation of names for a specific context and merely registers names that already exist. Alternatively, a naming convention may be prescriptive, specifying how names shall be formulated within a

particular context, with the Registration Authority (or an equivalent authority) expected to enforce compliance with the naming convention. The objectives of a prescriptive naming convention may include name consistency, name appearance, and name semantics (by which users can infer facts about the definition of the administered items). An effective naming convention can also enforce the exclusion of irrelevant facts about the administered item from the name, such as the input source of a data element or its field position in a file.

A naming convention is specified in a reference document that is associated with the context (for an administered item). Clause 8 specifies a set of attributes that may be used to document a naming convention within a Registry.

A naming convention shall cover all relevant documentation aspects. This includes, as applicable:

- a. The scope of the naming convention, e.g., established industry name
- b. The authority that establishes names
- c. Semantic rules governing the source and content of the terms used in a name, e.g., terms derived from data models, terms commonly used in the discipline, etc.
- d. Syntactic rules covering required term order
- e. Lexical rules covering controlled term lists, name length, character set, language
- f. A rule establishing whether or not names within this context must be unique.

7 Development of structured naming conventions

This clause lists principles used to develop a naming convention to produce well-structured names for a particular context. Rules are derived from the principles; these rules form a naming convention. Names formed according to these rules can be easily translated into languages other than the original because of the simplified syntax. Syntactic, semantic and lexical rules vary by organizations such as corporations or standards-setting bodies for business sectors; each can establish rules for name formation within its context(s). Annex A contains examples of rules for naming conventions that are consistent with the principles presented in this clause.

Descriptive naming conventions may be applied to administrative items which are not under the control of the Registration Authority for the registry, or other authority previous to entry in the registry. At a minimum, the rules for scope and authority should be documented. As appropriate, the semantic, syntactic, lexical, and uniqueness rules may be recorded.

In addition to the scope and authority rules needed to document descriptive naming conventions, prescriptive conventions may be documented by semantic, syntactic, lexical, and uniqueness rules.

- Semantic rules enable meaning to be conveyed.
- Syntactic rules relate items in a consistent, specified order.
- Lexical (word form and vocabulary) rules reduce redundancy and increase precision.
- A uniqueness rule documents the possibility of homonyms occurring within the scope of the naming convention.

The examples in annex A show how the names of each of the administered items is formed from a set of items selected from the structure sets within its context. (The names of other administered items may be formed by rules based on those described in this part of ISO/IEC 11179.) The names of these items can be developed from the names of the administered items from which they are composed, each assigned meaning (semantics) and relative or absolute position (syntax) within a name. They may, but need not, be delimited by a separator symbol. The names may be subject to lexical rules. An authority, e.g., a data manager within a corporation or an approving committee for an international business sector naming standard, should control the set or range of values of each item.

7.1 Scope principle

The scope of a naming convention specifies the range within which it is in effect. In terms of the MDR, the scope may be a single context or several contexts. As the definition of a context is unrestricted, so the scope of a naming convention may be as broad or narrow as the Registration Authority, or other authority, determines is appropriate. The scope should document whether the naming convention is descriptive or prescriptive.

The scope of each naming convention represented in the MDR shall be documented.

7.2 Authority principle

Identification of the authority that assigns names or enforces the naming convention is necessary for full documentation of the naming convention.

The Authority of each naming convention represented in the MDR shall be documented.

7.3 Semantic principle

Semantics concerns the meanings of name parts and possibly separators that delimit them. The set of rules for semantic content should result in a set of terms with discrete meanings that fully describe the meaning of the administered item but exclude irrelevant information.

Name parts may be derived from structure sets that identify relationships among (classify) members. See annex A for examples of semantic rule sets.

7.4 Syntactic principle

Syntax specifies the arrangement of parts within a name. The arrangement may be specified as relative or absolute, or some combination of the two.

Relative arrangement specifies parts in terms of other parts, e.g., a rule within a convention might require that a qualifier term must always appear before the part being qualified appears.

Absolute arrangement specifies a fixed occurrence of the part, e.g., a rule might require that the property term is always the last part of a name.

See annex A for examples of syntactic rule sets.

7.5 Lexical principle

Lexical issues concern the appearance of names: preferred and non-preferred terms, synonyms, abbreviations, part length, spelling, permissible character set, case sensitivity, etc. The result of applying lexical rules should be that all names governed by a specific naming convention have a consistent appearance.

See annex A for examples of lexical rule sets.

7.6 Uniqueness principle

Although there is no requirement that names be unique within a naming convention scope, allowing non-uniqueness admits the possibility of the existence of homonyms.

The denial or allowance of non-unique names within the scope of the naming convention should be documented.

8 Objects for naming convention description

The class defined below and its attributes may be used to describe a naming convention. They serve to document the existence and description of a naming convention for an administered item. Although these attributes are described using the format of the MDR Metamodel, they do not appear in ISO/IEC 11179-3(2002). A naming convention may be referenced in an MDR Registry by using the attributes found in the class *Reference Document*.

Class: Naming_Convention Occurrences: One per naming convention

Attribute:	Description:	Occurrences:
<i>Name</i>	The name of the naming convention	One per naming_convention
<i>Context</i>	The context to which the convention applies	One for each context to which the convention applies
<i>Scope</i>	The scope of the naming convention	One per naming_convention
<i>Authority</i>	The authority that establishes names	One per naming_convention
<i>Semantic rules</i>	The semantic rules for the naming convention	Zero to many per naming_convention
<i>Syntactic rules</i>	The syntax rules for the naming convention	Zero to many per naming_convention
<i>Lexical rules</i>	The lexical rules for the naming convention	Zero to many per naming_convention
<i>Uniqueness rule</i>	Are names unique w/in this naming convention?	One per naming_convention

The datatype for all attributes is *string*. *Scope*, *authority* and the *rules* attributes may be conditional depending on their appearance elsewhere in the documentation of this naming convention within the MDR.

Annex A (informative)

Example naming conventions for names within an MDR registry

The rules are derived from the principles described in clause 7. Examples are included. They show the formation of names within registries, and may be applied to the development of names within contexts at the discretion of the subject area authority. The complete process produces a data element name; other administered item names are developed during the process.

These examples are written as American English. Users of other languages may specify different or additional semantic, syntactic and lexical rules as needed to customize naming conventions to their languages. For example, a rule citing an authority for spelling words within terms might be added to the lexical rules.

A.1 Semantics of name parts

Name parts consist of discrete terms. The terms in this annex are derived from the administered items in the MDR metamodel described in ISO/IEC 11179-3. These are: object class terms, property terms, representation terms, and qualifier terms. As these terms are optional in the MDR metamodel, they are presented as examples of the application of semantic principles to name formation.

Object class term

In the MDR metamodel, an object class is a set of ideas, abstractions or things in the real world that are identified with explicit boundaries and meaning, and whose properties and behaviour follow the same rules. Each object class has a name. The registration of object classes in a registry is optional, but if used, the set of actual and potential object class names provides a taxonomy of object class terms.

An object class term may be a part of the name of the administered items conceptual domain, data element concept and data element, and represents an activity or object in a context. Use of a modelling methodology, as for instance an Entity Relationship Diagram (ERD) or object model, is a way to locate and discretely place administered items in relation to their higher-level model entities. The attributes of entity-relationship model entities equate to administered items that are related to each other through further application of the methodology. In an object model, data elements are expressed as object attributes.

Models provide one kind of classification scheme for administered items. Administered items which contain object classes may be identified with their related modelling entities by mapping the object class term to the model entity name. In ISO/IEC 11179-1:1999, annex A provides examples of the mapping between object class terms and ERD and object model entities.

In the data element names:

Employee Last Name

Cost Budget Period Total Amount

Tree Height Measure

Member Last Name

the terms Employee, Cost, Tree, and Member are object class terms.

Object class terms may be used by themselves as conceptual domain names.

Property term

In the MDR metamodel, a Property is a characteristic common to all members of an object class. Each property has a name. The registration of properties in a registry is optional, but if used, the set of actual and potential property names provides a taxonomy of property terms.

A set of property terms may be composed from a set of name parts in a property taxonomy. This set should consist of terms that are discrete (the definition of each does not overlap the definition of any other), and complete (taken together, the set represents all information concepts required for the specification of administered items which use properties, such as data elements, data element concepts and value domains).

In the data element names:

Employee Last Name

Cost Budget Period Total Amount

Member Last Name

Tree Height Measure

the terms Last Name, Total Amount, and Height are properties.

Using terms from two structure sets provides a complementary way of categorization. Both object class and property terms of data element concepts and data elements are utilized to form a name that contains vital information about these administered items, and also excludes extraneous or irrational elements that may be introduced when no conventions are employed. Data element concept names may be composed by combining object class terms and property terms.

Representation term

A representation term may be a part of an administered item name that describes the form of representation of an administered item that includes representation: data elements and value domains. Each term may be developed from a controlled word list or taxonomy. In the MDR metamodel, a Representation Class is the classification of types of representation. Each representation class has a name. The registration of representation classes in a registry is optional, but if used, the set of actual and potential representation class names provides a taxonomy of representation class terms.

Representation terms categorize forms of representation such as:

- Name - Amount

- Measure - Number . . .

- Quantity - Text

This term describes the form of the set of valid values of an administered item which includes representation. Often, the representation term may be redundant with part of the property term. When this occurs, one term or part of one term may be eliminated in a structured name. This can be established as a rule in a naming convention.

In the data element names:

Tree Height Measure

Employee Last Name

the terms Measure and Name are representation terms. Note that Last Name is a property term. One occurrence of the redundant word Name is removed to promote clarity.

Qualifier term

Qualifier terms may be attached to object class terms, property terms, and representation terms if necessary to distinguish one data element concept, conceptual domain, data element, or data value domain from another. These qualifier terms may be derived from structure sets specific to a context. In the rules for a naming convention, a restriction in the number of qualifier terms is recommended.

For example, in the data element name:

Cost Budget Period Total Amount

the term Budget Period is a qualifier term.

Note: Limitations in the form of permitted terms of qualifiers help reduce redundancy and increase incidence of data reuse by eliminating synonyms. This applies also to object class terms, property terms, and representation terms. A mechanism such as a thesaurus of terms facilitates this effort.

Semantics of separators

Various kinds of punctuation connect name parts, including separators such as spaces and hyphens, and grouping symbols such as parentheses. These may have:

a) No semantic meaning. A naming rule may state that separators will consist of one blank space or exactly one special character (for example a hyphen or underscore) regardless of semantic relationships of parts. Such a rule simplifies name formation.

b) Semantic meaning. Separators can convey semantic meaning by, for example, assigning a different separator between words in the qualifier term from the separator that separates words in the other part terms. In this way, the separator identifies the qualifier term clearly as different from the rest of the name.

For example, in the data element name:

Cost-Budget_Period-Total-Amount

the separator between words in the qualifier term is an underscore; other name parts are separated by hyphens.

Some languages, such as German and Dutch, commonly join grammatical constructs together in a single word (resulting in one word which in English or French might be a phrase consisting of nouns and adjectives). These languages could use a separator that is not a break between words, such as a hyphen, space or underscore, but instead capitalize the first letter of each name part within a single word (sometimes called CamelCase). This naming convention is also commonly used in programming languages such as C++ and Java.

Asian languages often form words using two characters which, separately, have different meanings, but when joined together have a third meaning unrelated to its parts. This may pose a problem in the interpretation of a name because ambiguity may be created by the juxtaposition of characters. A possible solution is to use one separator to distinguish when two characters form a single word, and another when they are individual words.

The following table indicates which name parts are combined to form administrative item names. See A.4 for examples of administered items with related names.

Table 1 — Relationship of name parts to administered item names

	Conceptual Domains	Data Element Concepts	Data Elements	Value Domains
Object Class Terms	x	x	x	o
Property Terms		x	x	o
Representation Terms			x	x
Qualifier Terms	x	x	x	x
Namespace Prefixes			x	

X = should be present

O = optional

A.2 Example of complete rule sets for naming conventions

These rules implement the semantics described above and combine them with other rules from clause 8.

Example rule sets for registry names

Name: MDR Registry Name

Context: Registry preferred name

Scope: MDR Example Registry

Authority: ISO/IEC SC 32/WG 2

Semantic rules:

- a. Object classes represent things of interest in a universe of discourse that may, for instance, be found in a model of that universe.

Example: Cost

- b. One and only one object class term shall be present.
- c. Property terms shall be derived from the property system structure set and represent a characteristic of the object class.

Example: Total Amount

- d. One and only one property term shall be present.

Note: The combination of object class term and property term forms the names for data element concepts.

- e. Qualifiers may be derived as determined by the subject area authority and will be added as needed to make the name unique within a specified context. The order of the qualifier terms is not significant. Qualifier terms are optional.

Example: Budget Period

- f. The representation of the valid value set of a data element or value domain is described by the representation term.
- g. One and only one representation term shall be present.

Example: Amount

Note: Representation terms, usually with added qualifiers, form value domain names.

Syntactic rules:

- a. The object class term shall occupy the first (leftmost) position in the name.
- b. Qualifier terms shall precede the part qualified. The order of qualifiers shall not be used to differentiate names.
- c. The property term shall occupy the next position.
- d. The representation term shall occupy the last position. If any word in the representation term is redundant with any word in the property term, one occurrence will be deleted.

Example: Cost Budget Period Total Amount

Lexical rules:

- a. Nouns are used in singular form only. Verbs (if any) are in the present tense.
- b. Name items and words in multi-word terms are separated by spaces. No special characters are allowed.
- c. All words in the name are in mixed case.
- d. Abbreviations, acronyms, and initialisms are allowed.

Example: Cost Budget Period Total Amount

Uniqueness rule:

All names shall be unique within this context.

A. 3 Example rule sets for data element names within XML tags

XML standards define namespaces as a mechanism to make all names within each namespace unique. Within an XML Schema, a namespace is identified by the assignment of a location, usually by use of an IRI. A prefix may be assigned to a name relating it to its namespace, or the namespace may be defined by the location of the object (element or attribute) within the schema definition.

These rules are derived from the principles described in clause 7. The first example differs from the rules described above only in the application of XML-specific lexical restrictions.

Name: XML tag name

Context: XML schema for reports

Scope: All element and attribute names in XML DTD's

Authority: XML data administrator

Semantic rules:

- a. Object classes represent things of interest in a universe of discourse that may, for instance, be found in a model of that universe.

Example: Cost

- b. One and only one object class term shall be present.
- c. Property terms shall be derived from the property system structure set and represent a characteristic of the object class.

Example: Total Amount

- d. One and only one property term shall be present.
- e. Qualifiers may be derived as determined by the subject area authority and will be added as needed to make the name unique within a specified context. The order of the qualifier terms is not significant. Qualifier terms are optional.

Example: Budget Period

- f. The representation of the valid value set of the data element is described by the representation term.
- g. One and only one representation term shall be present.

Example: Amount

Syntactic rules:

- a. The object class term shall occupy the first (leftmost) position in the name.
- b. Qualifier terms shall precede the part qualified. The order of qualifiers shall not be used to differentiate data element names.
- c. The property term shall occupy the next position.
- d. The representation term shall occupy the last position. If any word in the representation term is redundant with any word in the property term, one occurrence will be deleted.

Example: Cost Budget Period Total Amount

Lexical rules:

- a. Nouns are used in singular form only, unless the concept itself is plural. Verbs (if any) are in the present tense.
- b. Name parts are separated by capitalizing the first character of the second thru n th word.
- c. All words in the name are in mixed case.
- d. Abbreviations, acronyms, and initialisms are allowed only when used normally within business terms.

- e. Words contain letters and numbers only.

Example: CostBudgetPeriodTotalAmount

Uniqueness rule:

All names shall be unique within a DTD.

Usage Examples

In this example, a data element name is used in an XML element tag

< !ELEMENT CostBudgetPeriodTotalAmount (#PCDATA) >

An additional example can be developed to show the use of prefixes to identify the namespace to which an XML schema element or attribute is assigned. An additional semantic rule is defined:

- h. Not more than one namespace indicator shall be present.

An additional syntax rule is defined:

- e. If a namespace indicator is used, it shall precede all other terms.

And an additional lexical rule is defined:

- f. A namespace indicator is in lowercase and is separated from the other terms by a colon.

Example of an XML name using a namespace indicator:

< !ELEMENT acct:CostBudgetPeriodTotalAmount >

A.4 An attributed example of a data element

The table below presents an attributed example of a data element with all administered item derivations named. Specifically, this data element is derived from:

data element concept: Country Identifier

composed of occurrences of the

conceptual domain: Country

and its

value domain: ISO English-Language Country Short Name.

Three names are provided for this data element example: the registry name: Country Mailing Address Name; a name which appears in an application system, the convention for which requires separators with semantic meaning: Country.Mailing_Address.Name; and an XML Schema name, which employs a prefix identifying the namespace in which the name is included: addr:CountryMailingAddressName.

Attributes from clause 8 are included in Section 4 of the example. Semantic, syntactic and lexical rules are assumed to be documented elsewhere in the MDR in which this data element is registered.

Metadata Attribute Name		Application System
1	Data Element Definition	
	Data Element (DE) Definition Context	Registry
	DE Definition	The name of the country where a mail piece is delivered.
	DE Definition Context	Facility Data System
	DE Definition	The name of a country where the addressee is located.
	DE Definition Context	XML Address Schema
	DE Definition	The name of the country in an address.
2	Permissible Values and Value Domain	
	Permissible Values (each PV)	All English-language short country names from ISO 3166, matched with value meanings. (recorded as: Afghanistan, Albania,....., Zimbabwe)
	PV Begin Date (each PV)	19970110
	PV End Date (each PV)	(Not applicable)
	Value Domain (VD) Context	Registry
	VD Entry Name	ISO English-Language Country Short Name
	VD Definition	All short, ISO-recognized English-language names of all countries.
	VD Description	(Not applicable)
	VD Entry Identifier	{RAI} 5678:1
	Datatype	CHARACTER VARYING

Metadata Attribute Name		Application System
	Datatype Schema/Source	ANSI ISO SQL
	Maximum Characters	44
	Format	(Not applicable)
	Unit of Measure	(Not applicable)
	Precision	(Not applicable)
	VD Origin	ISO 3166-1:1997
	VD Explanatory Comment	The value domain includes only the subset of names that designate countries; it does not include names of territories.
3	Representation Class Attributes	
	Representation Class	Name
	Representation Class Qualifier	Short
4	Data Element Name and Identifier	
	DE Name	Country Mailing Address Name
	DE Name Context	Registry
	NC Name	Registry Preferred Naming Convention
	DE Name	Country.Mailing_Address.Name
	DE Name Context	Facility Data System
	NC Name	Facility Data System Naming Convention
	DE Name	addr:CountryAddressMailingName
	DE Name Context	XML Address Schema
	NC Name	XML Schema Naming Convention
	DE Entry Identifier	{RAI} 5394:1
5	Other Data Element Attributes	
	DE Example	Denmark
	DE Origin	Application system
	DE Comment	This data element is required for delivery of mail outside the country of origin.
	Submitting organization	Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance
	Stewardship Contact	Facility Data Systems Administrator
6	Data Element Concept and Conceptual Domain	
	Data Element Concept (DEC) Context	Registry
	DEC Name	Country Identifier
	DEC Definition	An identifier for a primary geopolitical entity of the world.
	Object Class	Country
	Object Class Qualifier	Mailing Address
	Property	Identifier
	Property Qualifier	(None)
	DEC Entry Identifier	{RAI} 12468:1
	Conceptual Domain (CD) Context	Registry
	CD Name	Country
	CD Definition	The primary geopolitical entities of the world.
	CD Entry Identifier	{RAI} 2468:1
	CD Origin	ISO 3166:1

Metadata Attribute Name		Application System
	Value Meaning (for each VM)	The primary geopolitical entity known as <China>
	VM Begin Date (for each VM)	19970110
	VM End Date (for each VM)	(Not applicable)
	VM Identifier (for each VM)	<Assigned by system as 1001...1230: one to each VM>
7	Classification Type	Classification Values for Classification Type
	Keyword	Country, Address, Mailing
	Group	Mailing Address
	Object	Address, Country
	Layer of Abstraction Type	Specialization
8	Registration and Administrative Status	
	DE Registration Status	Recorded
	DE Administrative Status	In Quality Review
	VD Registration Status	Standard
	VD Administrative Status	Final
	DEC Registration Status	Recorded
	DEC Administrative Status	In Quality Review
	CD Registration Status	Standard
	CD Administrative Status	Final

Annex B (informative) Example naming conventions for Asian languages

These rules are derived from the principles in clause 7. Examples described here are the same as in annex A.

Asian language often form words using two characters which, separately, have different meanings, but when joined together have a third meaning unrelated to its parts. This may pose a problem in the interpretation of a name because ambiguity may be created by the juxtaposition of characters.

These examples are written as American English, Japanese, Korean and Chinese.

Semantic rules:

- a. Object classes represent things of interest in a universe of discourse that may, for instance, be found in a model of that universe.

Example:

- English: Cost
- Japanese: 経費
- Korean: 비용
- Chinese: 成本

- b. One and only one object class term shall be present.
- c. Property terms shall be derived from the property system structure set and represent the category of the data.

Example:

- English: Total Amount
- Japanese: 総計
- Korea: 총합계
- Chinese: 总金额

- d. One and only one property term shall be present.

Note: The combination of object class term and property term forms the names for data element concepts.

- e. Qualifiers may be derived as determined by the subject area authority and will be added as needed to make the name unique within a specified context. The order of the qualifier terms is not significant. Qualifier terms are optional.

Example:

-English: Budget Period

-Japanese: 予算期間

-Korea: 예산기간

-Chinese: 预算期间

- f. The representation of the valid value set of a data element or value domain is described by the representation term.

- g. One and only one representation term shall be present.

Example:

-English: Amount

-Japanese: 計

-Korean: 합계

-Chinese: 金额

Note: Representation terms, usually with added qualifiers, form value domain names.

Syntactic rules:

- The object class term shall occupy the first (leftmost) position in the name.
- Qualifier terms shall precede the part qualified. The order of qualifiers shall not be used to differentiate name.
- The property term shall occupy the next position.
- The representation term shall occupy the last position. If any word in the representation term is redundant with any word in the property term, one occurrence will be deleted.

Example:

-English: Cost Budget Period Total Amount

-Japanese: 経費予算期間総計 or 経費・予算期間・総計

-Korean: 예산기간비용합계

-Chinese: 成本预算期间总金额

Lexical rules:

a. Nouns are used in singular form only. Verbs (if any) are in the present tense.

Note: In Japanese, this rule shall not be applied because of no plural form of nouns and no distinction of verb tense.

b. Name items and words in multi-word terms are separated by spaces. No special characters are allowed.

Note: In Japanese, as multi-word terms are naturally expressed without spaces, any characters shall not be allowed or a dot character may be used for separating those terms.

c. All words in the name are in mixed case.

Note: In Japanese, all words in the name are in mixed case of Zen-kaku and Han-kaku.

d. Abbreviations, acronyms, and initialisms are allowed.

Example:

-English: Cost Budget Period Total Amount

-Japanese: 経費予算期間総計 or 経費・予算期間・総計

-Korean: 예산기간비용합계

-Chinese: 成本预算期间总金额

Uniqueness rule:

All names shall be unique within this context.